STATE OF CALIFORNIA
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN
FRANCISCO BAY REGION

STAFF SUMMARY REPORT STAFF: Michael T. Chee MEETING DATE: October 20, 2004

ITEM: 7

SUBJECT: General Chemical Corporation, Contra Costa County - Hearing to Consider Mandatory Minimum Penalty for Discharge of Partially Trea ted Wastewater to Waters of the State

CHRONOLOGY: April 2001 - Mandatory Minimum Penalty (MMP)

December 2003 - M MP

DISCUSSION: G eneral Chemical Corporation violated its effluent limits on 16 occasions during the period between September 1, 2003, and May 31, 2004. Fifteen of these violations are subject to manda tory pena a total penalty of \$45,000.

General Chemic al has signed a waiver to a Water Board hearing (Appendix B), and in tends to undertake a supplemental environmental project (SEP). The proposed SEP is for the Wetland Clean Up of the Bay Point Regional Shoreline located approximately one mile east of the Discharger's facility. The East Bay Regional Park District will conduct the cleanup of the debris. The attached complaint proposes civil liability in the amount of \$45,000, of which \$30,000 will be suspended to fund the SEP.

RECOMMEN DATIONS: No action

required.

File No.: 2119. 1001 (MTC)

Appendix: A. Complaint No. R2-2004-0053 Appendix: B.

Signed waiver

General Chemical MMP R2-2004-0053

CALIFORNTIA REGIONAL W ATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

COMPLAINT NO. R2-2004-0053
MANDATORY MRZMUM PENALTIES
IN THE MATTER OF GENERAL
CHEMICAL CORPORATION CONTRA

_ aa-

Pursuant to California W ater Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to the General Chemical Corporation (hereinafter called the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties (MM P), based on a finding of the Discharger's violations of Waste Discharge Requirements contained in Order No. R2-2002-0071 for the period between September 1, 2003 and May 31, 2004.

The Executive Officer finds the following:

- On June 19, 2002, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Re gion, (the Water Board) adopted Order No. R2-2002-00 71 for the Discharger, to regulate discharges of waste from the Discharger's facility.
- Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) f or each serious violation.
- 3. Water Code Section 13385 (h)(2) defines "serious violation" as any waste d ischarge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent lim itation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements by 40 percent of more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceed s the effluent lim itation by 20 percent of more.
- 4. Water Code Section 13385(i) (1) requires the Water Board to a ssess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:
 - a. Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation. b. Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
 - c. Files an incomplete report pursuant to 13260.
 - d. Violates a toxicity effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.

5. Water Code Section 13385 (1) allows the Regional Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to direct a portion of the MMP amount to be expended on a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in accordance with-the enf orcement policy of the State Water Resources Control Board. The discharger may undertake an SEP for up to the full amount of the MMP for liabilities less than or equal to \$15,000. If the MMP amount exceeds \$15,000, the maximum MMP amount that may be expended on an SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50 percent of the MMP amount that exceeds \$15,000.

6. Effluent Limit ations

Order No. R2-2002 -0071 includes the following applicable effluent limitations:

Lead Interim Daily Maximum Limit 56 mg/1 __ Mercury Interim Daily Maximum Limit 1 Ltg/1 Mercury Interim Mass Emission Limit 0.021 kg/month Total Suspended Solids Tf'eekly Average 45 mg/1

7. Summary of Eff luent Limit Violations

During the period between September 1, 2003 and May 31, 200 4, the Disch arger had sixteen violations of its effl uent limits. These violations are: one lead interim daily max imum limit violation, five mercury interim daily max imum limit violations, nine mercury interim mass emission limit violations, and one TSS weekly average limit violation. The details of these limit violations are summarized in the attached Table 1, which is incorporated herein by reference, and described in the following findings.

8. Lead interim daily maximum

The lead violation (item 1 in Table 1) is a serious violation. Therefore, this violation is subject to a \$3,000 MMP.

9. Mercury interim daily maximum

The five violations (items 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 in Table 1) are serious violations. Therefore, these violations are subject to a \$15,000 MMP.

10. Mercury interim mass emission limit

The first mercu ry interim m ass emission limit violation in Table 1 (item 3) is a non serious violation and counts as the third chronic violation that is ex empt from an MMP. The remaining eight violations (items 5, 7, 9, 10 and 13-16) are chr onic violations and subject to an MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six-month period. Therefore, these violations are subject to a \$24,000 MMP.

11. Total Suspended Solids

The TSS violation (item 11) is a chronic violation and subject to an MMP since there have bee n four or more violations in a six-month period. Therefore, this violation is subject to a \$3,000 MMP.

General Chemical MMP R2-2004-0053

12. Water Code Exception

Water Code Section 133850) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of an MMP for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions apply to the violations cited in this Complaint.

13. MMP Assessmen

Fifteen of the sixteen items listed in Table 1 are subject to an MMP. The total MMP amount is \$45,000

14. Partial Suspended MMP Amounts

Instead of paying the full penalty amount to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, the Discharger may spend an amount up to \$30,000 on an SEP __ acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete an SEP will be permanently suspended.

SEP Categories

If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, the proposed SEP shall be in the following categories:

- a. Pollution prevention; b. Pollution reduction;
- c. Environmental clean-up or restoration; and
- d. Environmental education.

THE GENERAL CHEMICAL CORPORATION IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

- 1. The Executive Officer proposes that the Discharger be assessed MMP in the total amount of \$45,000.
- 2. The Water Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on October 20, 2004, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page (page 5) of this Complaint and checks the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:
 - a. Pay the full MMP of \$45,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
 - b. Propose an SEP in an amount up to \$30,000. Pay the balance of the penalty within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$45,000.
- 3. If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, it must submit a preliminary proposal by September 24, 2004 to the Executive Officer for conceptual approval. Any SEP proposal shall also conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002 and the attached Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirement for Supplemental Environmental Projects. If the proposed SEP is not acceptable to the

Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty of 530,000. All payments, including money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP

implementation s hall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Ex ecutive Officer within 60 days of project completion.

- 4. The signed waiver will become effective on the nex t day after the public comment.period for th is Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate. _ A-,
- 5. If a hearing is held, the Water Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of penalty.

11"V/

.. 444~

Table 1 -Violations Summary
Attachment A- St andard Criteria and Reporting Requirement f or Supplemental Environmental Projects

General Chemical N1hIP R2-2004-0053

WAIVER (The signed waiver will become e ffective on the next day after the pub

this Complaint is closed, provided that there a re no significant public comments on th is Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

0 Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full .

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with r egard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0 053 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o Regional Water Quality Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, __ wit hin 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.

0 Waiver of right to a hearing and agree to make pay ment and undertake an SEP. By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-200 4-0053, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the su spended liability up to \$30,000. I also agree to remit payment of the balance of the fine to the State Water Polluti on Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA) with in 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I un derstand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resour ces Control Board on February 19, 200 2, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspende d penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of the date of the letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed/revised SEP. I also understand that I

am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer. I understand failure to adequately complete the approved SEP will require immediate payment of the su spended liability to the CAA.

Name (print) Signature Date Title/Or ganization

ATTACHMENT A

a ~,

CALIFORNIA REGIONA L #ATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION JANUARY 2004

STANDARD CRITERIA AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

A. BASIS AND PURPOSE

The San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) accepts and encourages Supplement al Environmental Projects (SEP) in lieu of a portion of the ACL imposed on Dischargers in the Bay Area.

The Water Board does not select projects for SEP; rather, the Discharger identifies a project it would like to fund and then obtains approval from the Water Board's Executive Officer. The Water Board facilitates the process by maintaining a list of possible projects, which is made available to Dischargers interested in pursuing the SEP option. This list is available on the Water Board web site:

http://hN'NN-\N'.s\"7cb.ca. oNqcb2/

Dischargers are not required to select a project from this list. Dischargers may contact local governments or public in terest groups for potential projects in their area, or develop projec ts of their own.

B. GENERAL SEP QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

All SEPs approved by the Water Board must satisfy the following general criteria:

- (a) An SEP shall only consist of measures that go above and beyond all le gal obligations of the Discharger (including t hose from other agencies). For example, sew age pump stations should have appropriate reliability features to minimize the occurrence of sewag e spills in that particular collection system. The installation of these reliability features following a pump station spill would not qualify as an SEP.
- (b) The SEP should be enefit or study groundwater or surface w ater quality or quantity, and the beneficial uses of waters of the State. SEPs in the folloNving categories have received approval from the W ater Board's Executive Officer:

Pollution prevention. These are projects designed to reduce the amount of pollutants being discharged to either sewer systems or to storm drains. Examples include improved industrial processes that reduce production of pollutants or improved spill prevention programs. Pollution reduction. These are projects that reduce the amounts of pollution being discharged to the environment from treatment facilities. A n example is a program to recycle treated was the program of the program of

Environmental restoration. These projects either restore .or create natural environments. Typical examples are wetland restoration or planting of stream bank vegetation.

• Environmental education. These projects involve funding environmental education programs in schools (or for teachers) or for the general public.

Further, an SEP should be located near the Discharger, in the same local watershed, unless the project is of region-wide importance.

C. APPROVAL PROCESS

The following information shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for approval of a n SEP:

- 1. Name of the organization and contact person, with phone number.
- 2. Name and location of the project, inclu ding watershed (creek, river, bay) where it is located.
- 3. A detailed description of the proposed project, including proposed activities, time schedules, success criteria, other parties involved, monitoring program where applicable, and any other pertinent information. `~ 4. General cost of the project.
- 5. Outlin e milestones and expected completion date.

Generally SEP proposals are submitt ed along with waivers of hearings. In such a case the approval of a proposal will not become effective until the waiver goes into effect, i.e. at the close of the public comment period. There will not be a public hearing on the SEP proposal unless new and significant information becomes available after the close of the public comment period that could not have been presented during the comment period.

If the Discharger needs additional time to prepare an SEP it may waive its right to a hearing within 30 days of the issuance of a Complaint (and retain its right to a hearing to contest the Complaint at a later date), and request additional time to prepare an SEP proposal. Any such time extension needs to be approved by Water Board staff.

D. REPORTING REQUIREMENT

On January 15 and July 15 of each year, progress reports shall be filed for the SEPs with expected completion date beyond 240 days after the issuance of the corres ponding complaint.

E. FINAL NOTIFICATION

No later than 60 days after completion of the approved SEP, a final notification shall be filed. The final notification shall include the following information:

Outline completed tasks and goals; Summary of all expenses with proof of payment; and Overall evaluation of the SEP.

F. THIRD PARTY PROJECT OVERSIGHT

For SEPs of more than \$10,000 the Water Board requires there to be third party oversight of the project. The Water Board has made arrangements with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to provide this oversight, or a Discharger may choose an alternative third party acceptable to the Executive Officer. If ABAG is chosen, six per cent of the SEP funds shall be directed to ABAG for oversight services (the remaining 94% of funds go directly to the SEP). If an alternative third party is chosen, the amount of funds directed to the SEP, as opposed to oversight, shall not be less than 94% of the total SEP funding. For projects greater than \$10,000 the Discharger shall indicate when submitting the information required under C. above whether ABAG or an alternative third party oversight entity will be used.

WAIVER (The signed waiver ,.will become effective on the next day after the public comment pe

for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

O Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o Regional Water Quality Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.

Waiver of right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake an SEBby checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0053, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to \$30,000. 1 also agree to remit payment of the balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA) within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State W ater Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of the date of the letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed/revised SEP. I also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer. I understand failure to adequately complete the approved SEP w-ill require immediate payment of the suspended liability to the CAA.

3EF7p -VA~ --U N C2U1c

Name (print)

9 11 Z- I o4 Date Title/Organization

5